SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

Case 2:12-cv-06348-BMS Document 1 Filed 11/09/12 Page 1 of 10 CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE PEVERSE OF THE FORM.)

the civil docket sheet. (SEE II	NSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)	•			
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS			
DANNY ESPELETA		NCO FINANCIA	NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.		
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff	County of Residence of	First Listed Defendant		
(c) Attorney's (Firm Na	ume, Address, Telephone Number and Email Ad	dress) NOTE: IN LAND	CONDEMNATION CASES, US	SE THE LOCATION OF THE	
Craig Thor Kimmel, E Kimmel & Silverman, 30 E. Butler Pike Ambler, PA 19002 (215) 540-8888	P.C.	LAND IN Attorneys (If Known)	NVOLVED.		
II. BASIS OF JURISI	OICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF PI	RINCIPAL PARTIES		
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only) PT Citizen of This State			
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2		
		Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 🗖 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	Slander □ 368 Asbestos Person Liability □ 1340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product □ 370 Other Fraud □ 370 Other Fraud	620 Other Food & Drug	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and	
又 1 Original □ 2 R	tate Court Appellate Court	Reopened another (specific	erred from 6 Multidistr r district Litigation		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	ON Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you 15 U.S.C SECTION 1692 Brief description of cause: Fair Debt Collection Practices A		1 statutes uniess diversity):	4	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: • ✓ Yes □ No	
VIII. RELATED CAS	SE(S) (See instructions): JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
Explanation:					
DATE	SIGNATURE (OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD			

11/08/2012 /s/ Craig Thor Kimmel

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

DANNY ESPELETA		:			
	:	CIVIL ACTION			
V.	: :	NO.			
NCO FINANCIAL SYS	STEMS, INC. :				
	:				
claintiff shall complete a filing the complaint and s side of this form.) In the designation, that defendated claintiff and all other part	Case Management Track D serve a copy on all defendants he event that a defendant dent ant shall, with its first appeara	relay Reduction Plan of this court, court esignation Form in all civil cases at the s. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the plan that the plaintiff regardince, submit to the clerk of court and serve k Designation Form specifying the track to	time of reverse ng said e on the		
SELECT ONE OF THI	E FOLLOWING CASE MA	ANAGEMENT TRACKS:			
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cas	es brought under 28 U.S.C.	§ 2241 through § 2255.	()		
	es requesting review of a decidenying plaintiff Social Secu	cision of the Secretary of Health arity Benefits.	()		
(c) Arbitration – Cases re	equired to be designated for	arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	(X)		
(d) Asbestos – Cases inv exposure to asbestos.		jury or property damage from	()		
exposure to aspestos.			()		
commonly referred to	as complex and that need sp	tracks (a) through (d) that are pecial or intense management by			
the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.)					
(f) Standard Managemer	nt – Cases that do not fall into	o any one of the other tracks.	()		
<u>11/08/2012</u> Date	Craig Thor Kimmel Attorney-at-law	Plaintiff, Danny Espeleta Attorney for			
215-540-8888 Felephone	877-788-2864 FAX Number	<u>kimmel@creditlaw.com</u> E-Mail Address			

Case 2:12-cv-06348HRIVIED STACTIESEDISTRICEDIONI12 Page 3 of 10

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

assignment to appropriate calendar.		
Address of Plaintiff: 600 South Melbourne Dr., #103, Fo	ayetteville, AR 72701	
Address of Defendant: 570 Prudential Road, Horsham, PA	19044	
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:		
(Use Reverse Side For A	Additional Space)	
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation a	and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?	
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)	Yes□ No⊠	
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No⊠	
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:		
Case Number:Judge	Date Terminated:	
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:		
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one ye	ear previously terminated action in this court?	
	Yes□ No⊠	
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior action in this court?	suit pending or within one year previously terminated	
	Yes□ No⊠	
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier in		
terminated action in this court?	Yes□ No⊠	
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil righ	ts case filed by the same individual?	
	Yes□ No⊠	
CIVIL: (Place in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)		
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:	
1. □ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts	
2. □ FELA	2. □ Airplane Personal Injury	
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation	
4. □ Antitrust	4. □ Marine Personal Injury	
5. □ Patent	5. □ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury	
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify)	
7. □ Civil Rights	7. □ Products Liability	
8. □ Habeas Corpus	8. □ Products Liability — Asbestos	
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases	
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)	
11. M All other Federal Question Cases		
(Please specify) 15 U.S.C. § 1692		
ARBITRATION CERT	IFICATION	
I, Craig Thor Kimmel , counsel of record do hereby certi-		
□ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and		
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	· · · · · · ·	
□ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.		
DATE: 11/08/2012 /s/ Craig Thor Kimme	57100	
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#	
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if the	ere has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.	
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or	within one year previously terminated action in this court	
except as noted above.		
DATE: 11/08/2012 /s/ Craig Thor Kimmel	57100	

Attorney-at-Law

Attorney I.D.#

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE 2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA 3 DANNY ESPELETA, 4 **Plaintiff Case No.:** 5 v. **COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR** 6 NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., **JURY TRIAL** 7 Defendant (Unlawful Debt Collection Practices) 8 **COMPLAINT** 9 DANNY ESPELETA ("Plaintiff"), by and through his attorneys, KIMMEL & 10 SILVERMAN, P.C., alleges the following against NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., 11 12 ("Defendant"): 13 INTRODUCTION 14 1. Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA"). 16 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 17 2. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), which states 18 that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court 19 without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 grants this court original 20 jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the laws of the United States. 21 3. Defendants headquarters are in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania; therefore, 22 personal jurisdiction is established. 23 4. 24 Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1). 25

2

3

45

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Fayetteville, Arkansas 72701.
- 6. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(3).
- 7. Defendant is a national debt collection company with its corporate headquarters located at 507 Prudential Road, Horsham, Pennsylvania 19044.
- 8. Defendant collects, and attempts to collect, consumer debts incurred, or alleged to have been incurred, for personal, family or household purposes on behalf of creditors and debt buyers using the U.S. Mail, telephone and/or internet.
- 9. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and repeatedly contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect a debt.
- 10. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 11. At all relevant times, Defendant was attempting to collect an alleged consumer debt.
- 12. The alleged debt at issue, a Capital One credit card debt, arose out of transactions, which were primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
- 13. Beginning in or before February 2012, and continuing through May 1, 2012, Defendant continuously and repetitively contacted Plaintiff on his cellular telephone seeking and demanding payment of \$1319.17 for an alleged debt.
- 14. During this time, Defendant called Plaintiff's cellular phone, on average, two (2) times a day, causing him to receive more than ten (10) collection calls a week.

15. Many of the collection calls Plaintiff received originated from, but are not limited to, the following numbers: (800) 883-0613 and (951) 289-4303, which the undersigned has confirmed are telephone numbers belonging to Defendant.

- 16. Defendant records collection calls.
- 17. Defendant advises debtors that calls are recorded.
- 18. Defendant maintains recordings made of collection calls in a database kept in the normal course of business.
 - 19. Defendant recorded calls to Plaintiff.
- 20. In the event recordings of collection calls were not retained or are no longer available, Plaintiff avers that Defendant either (1) destroyed the recordings to avoid production or (2) deceived Plaintiff when stating that to him that the call was recorded.
- 21. On numerous occasions, Plaintiff spoke with Defendant's collectors, who demanded a payment on the alleged debt be made and in those instances, Plaintiff instructed Defendant to stop contacting him on his cellular telephone.
- 22. Defendant ignored Plaintiff's instructions and continued to contact him on his cellular telephone after having been told not to do so.
- 23. Further, in its attempts to collect a debt, Defendant demanded personal information, including date of birth, without even knowing first if it was Plaintiff himself who had picked up the call, or if it was a third party.
- 24. Defendant's collectors insisted that Plaintiff disclose personal information, when Defendant already possessed this information.
- 25. Finally, within five (5) days of its initial communication with Plaintiff, Defendant failed to send Plaintiff written notification of his rights under the FDCPA.

25

- 26. To date, Defendant has not sent Plaintiff anything in writing regarding the alleged debt.
- 27. Defendant's actions in attempting to collect a debt were harassing, abusive, and annoying.

DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT COUNT I

- 28. Defendant's conduct, as detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §§1692d and 1692d(5).
 - a. Section 1692d of the FDCPA prohibits a debt collector from engaging in conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt.
 - b. Section 1692d(5) of the FDCPA prohibits debt collectors from causing a telephone to ring or engaging any person in telephone conversation repeatedly or continuously with the intent to annoy, abuse, or harass any person at the called number.
 - c. Here, Defendant violated §§1692d and 1692d(5) of the FDCPA by continuously calling Plaintiff on his cellular telephone two (2) times a day, causing him to receive at least ten (10) collection calls a week, with the intent to harass or annoy Plaintiff.
 - d. Further, Defendant violated §1692d of the FDCPA by continuing to call Plaintiff on his cellular telephone after having been instructed by Plaintiff to stop calling and knowing that Plaintiff did not want to receive collection calls, having the intent to annoy, abuse and harass Plaintiff.

COUNT II

- 29. Defendant's conduct, as detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. \$1692f.
 - a. A debt collector violates §1692f of the FDCPA by using unfair and unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt.
 - b. Here, Defendant violated §1692f of the FDCPA by using unfair and unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect a debt, including demanding that Plaintiff provide personal information, when Defendant already possessed that information and claiming to record calls but either fail to preserve those calls and/or not truly record the calls.

COUNT III

- 30. Defendant's conduct, as detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §1692g.
 - A debt collector violates §1692g(a) of the FDCPA by failing to send to the consumer, with five days after its initial communication with a consumer in connection with the collection of a debt, a written notice containing: (1) the amount of the debt; (2) the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed; (3) a statement that unless the consumer, within thirty days after receipt of the notice, disputes the validity of the debt, or any portion thereof, the debt will be assumed to be valid by the debt collector; (4) a statement that if the consumer notifies the debt collector in writing within thirty-day period that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, the debt collector will obtain verification of the

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

debt or a copy of a judgment against the consumer and a copy of such verification or judgment will be mailed to the consumer by the debt collector; and (5) a statement that, upon the consumer's written request within the thirty-day period, the debt collector will provide the consumer with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.

b. Here, Defendant violated § 1692g(a) of the FDCPA by failing to send written notification, within five (5) days after its initial communication with Plaintiff, advising Plaintiff of his rights to dispute the debt or request verification of the debt or providing him with the name of the original creditor and the amount of the debt.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, DANNY ESPELETA, respectfully prays for a judgment as follows:

- All actual damages suffered pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1);
- Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for the violation of the FDCPA pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A);
- c. All reasonable attorneys' fees, witness fees, court costs and other litigation costs incurred by Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1693k(a)(3); and
- d. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, DANNY ESPELETA, demands a jury trial in this case.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C.

By: /s/ Craig Thor Kimmel
Craig Thor Kimmel, Esquire
PA Attorney Id. No. 311703
30 E. Butler Pike
Ambler, PA 19002

Phone: (215) 540-8888 Fax: (877) 788-2864

Email: kimmel@creditlaw.com